

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/054,665

Applicant(s)

ENGELHARDT ET AL.

Examiner

David Guzo

Art Unit

1636

All Participants:

(1) David Guzo.

(2) Janet E. Embretson.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

59 and 60

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

After a discussion concerning the patentability of Claims 59-60, applicants representative proposed an amendment to said claims, wherein said amendment placed the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)